REV. CRISTOBAL GARCIA, O.P.
(ORDER OF PREACHERS, DOMINICANS)

DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY THE ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES 2013
PURSUANT TO JCCP 4286 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Vicar for Clergy Database

Clergy Assignment Record (Detailed)

Rev Cristobal Garcia, O.P.

Current Primary Assignment

Birth Date			*Age:*
Birth Place			*Deanery:*
Diaconate Ordination			
Priesthood Ordination	9/24/1982		
Diocese Name			
Date of Incardination			
Religious Community	Dominican Friars		
Ritual Ascription	Latin		
Ministry Status	Left Archdiocese		
Canon State	Relig. Order Priest	*Incard Process* ☐	
Begin Pension Date			

Seminary

Ethnicity Filipino

Fingerprint Verification and Safeguard Training

Date Background Check

Virtus Training Date

Assignment History

Assignment	*Beginning Date*	*Completion Date*
Left Archdiocese, Left Dominican Order 1986. PRIEST NOT TO MINISTER.	11/11/1985	
St. Dominic Catholic Church, Los Angeles, Resident	8/13/1983	11/10/1985

CRISTOBAL GARCIA, O.P.

CONFIDENTIAL

ARCHBISHOP OF LOS ANGELES

CONFIDENTIAL

File

CONFIDENTIAL

2 December 1985

ARCHBISHOP MAHONY:

This date REDACTED ----------, suspended and removed, Rev. CRISTOBAL GARCIA, O.P., associate St Fominic's, Eagle Rock, a REDACTED REDACTED for serious cause. - Possible pedophilic activity

Both civil and canon lawyers are advising REDACTED on this matter. At present, the matter seems to be in competent hands.

You may receive some mail on this from the REDACTED community.

JARAWDEN

cc: Bishop Ward

6 December 1985

The above was sent to REDACTED at the request of Archbishop Mahony.

JARAWDEN

CONFIDENTIAL

2 December 1985

ARCHBISHOP MAHONY:

This date REDACTED suspended and removed. Rev. CRISTOBAL GARCIA, O.P., associate St Fominic's, Eagle Rock, a REDACTED REDACTED , for serious cause. - Possible pedophilic activity

Both civil and canon lawyers are advising REDACTED on this matter. At present, the matter seems to be in competent hands.

You may receive some mail on this from the REDACTED community.

JARAWDEN

cc: Bishop Ward

12-3-85

Please advise REDACTED ad cautelam,

Thanks!

+ RMM

4 December 1985

REDACTED

Archbishop Mahony asked that you be made aware of this "just in case"

J. A. Rawden

24924

Dominican Fathers (OP) repeat on change list

AUG 3 1 1986

GARCIA, Rev. Cristobal, O.P.

ON CHANGE LIST

Date	Place	Assignment
8/13/83	St. Dominic, Los Angeles	in residnece
11/11/85	LEFT ARCHDIOCESE	

24926

not in DB

SUMMONS
(CITACION JUDICIAL)

ORIGINAL

FOR COURT USE ONLY
(SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: *(Aviso a Acusado)*

CRISTOBAL GARCIA; REDACTED
ST. DOMINIC'S CHURCH; ARCHDIOCESE
OF LOS ANGELES aka CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE
OF LOS ANGELES; DOES 1 through 100
inclusive; DOE CORPORATIONS 100
through 110, inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:
(A Ud. le está demandando)

REDACTED

You have *30 CALENDAR DAYS* after this summons is served on you to file a typewritten response at this court.

A letter or phone call will not protect you; your typewritten response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case.

If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case, and your wages, money and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may call an attorney referral service or a legal aid office (listed in the phone book).

Después de que le entreguen esta citación judicial usted tiene un plazo de* 30 DIAS CALENDARIOS *para presentar una respuesta escrita a máquina en esta corte.

Una carta o una llamada telefónica no le ofrecerá protección; su respuesta escrita a máquina tiene que cumplir con las formalidades legales apropiadas si usted quiere que la corte escuche su caso.

Si usted no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso, y le pueden quitar su salario, su dinero y otras cosas de su propiedad sin aviso adicional por parte de la corte.

Existen otros requisitos legales. Puede que usted quiera llamar a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de referencia de abogados o a una oficina de ayuda legal (vea el directorio telefónico).

CASE NUMBER: *(Número del Caso)*
C686289

The name and address of the court is: *(El nombre y dirección de la corte es)*

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
CENTRAL DISTRICT
111 North Hill Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:
(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es)

REDACTED
REDACTED

REDACTED
REDACTED

DATE: *(Fecha)* MAY 16 1988

FRANK S. ZOLIN

Clerk, by *(Actuario)* C. WASHINGTON, Deputy *(Delegado)*

(SEAL)

NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served
1. ☐ as an individual defendant.
2. ☐ as the person sued under the fictitious name of *(specify)*:
3. ☐ on behalf of *(specify)*:
   under: ☐ CCP 416.10 (corporation) ☐ CCP 416.60 (minor)
   ☐ CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) ☐ CCP 416.70 (conservatee)
   ☐ CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) ☐ CCP 416.90 (individual)
   ☐ other:
4. ☐ by personal delivery on *(date)*:

24902

REDACTED

Original Filed
MAY 16 1988
COUNTY CLERK

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

REDACTED

Plaintiff,

v.

CRISTOBAL GARCIA; REDACTED
REDACTED ; ST. DOMINIC'S CHURCH; ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES aka CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES; DOES 1 through 100 inclusive; DOE CORPORATIONS 100 through 110, inclusive

Defendants.

CASE NO.

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR ASSAULT AND BATTERY; FALSE IMPRISONMENT: INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF MENTAL DISTRESS; NEGLIGENT SUPERVISION; NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF MENTAL DISTRESS; CONSPIRACY

Plaintiff alleges:

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

24903

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Assault and Battery Against All Defendants)

1. Plaintiff is and at all times herein mentioned was a resident of Pasadena, Los Angeles County, California.

2. Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S PARISH (hereafter ST. DOMINIC'S) is and at all times herein mentioned was a religious organization operating on Merton Avenue in Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, California.

3. Defendant ARCHDIOCES OF LOS ANGELES aka CATHOLIC ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES (hereafter ARCHDIOCESE) is and at all times herein mentioned was organized for religious purposes and operating under the laws of the State of California and having its principal place of business in Los Angeles County California.

4. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant ARCHDIOCESE was responsible for the operation and existence of Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S and was responsible for assigning and transferring its employees to and from Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S.

5. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant CRISTOBAL GARCIA (hereafter "GARCIA") was the agent and servant of Defendants ST. DOMINIC'S and ARCHDIOCESE, and in doing the things hereinafter mentioned was acting within the scope of such agency and service to his co-defendants.

6. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant REDACTED (hereafter "REDACTED" was the agent and servant of Defendants ST. DOMINIC'S and ARCHDIOCESE and in doing the things hereinafter mentioned was acting within the scope of such agency and service to his co-defendants.

7. Plaintiff is unaware of the true names and capacities, whether corporate, individual, or otherwise of Defendants designated herein as Does 1 through 110, and will seek leave of court to amend this complaint to assert the true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that said fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner for the events and damages to Plaintiff as herein alleged.

8. Plaintiff is further informed and believes an upon such information and belief alleges that Defendants, and each of them, at all times hereinmentioned were the agents, employees, servants, joint venturers and/or co-conspirators of the remaining Defendants, and were acting in the course and scope of such agency, employment, joint venture and/or conspiracy; that Defendants, and each of them, were doing the things herein alleged, were the actual and/or ostensible agents of the remaining Defendants and were acting in the course and scope of such agency; and that each and every Defendant, as aforesaid, when acting as a principal, was negligent in selecting, hiring, supervision and continued employment of each and every Defendant as an agent, employee or joint venturer; and/or that said

Defendants approved, supported, participated in, authorize and/or ratified the acts and/or omissions of said employees, agents, servants, conspirators and/or joint venturers.

9. On or about May 21, 1983 and for some time prior thereto Plaintiff was an altar boy at Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S and at all times mentioned herein, Plaintiff was a minor under the age of eighteen years.

10. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED were members of the Defendant religious order and during some of the periods mentioned resided at Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant REDACTED arrived at Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S subsequently to Defendant GARCIA and did the things herein alleged independently of Defendant GARCIA.

11. From on or about June 1983 through on or about December 1986, at Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S premises in Los Angeles and other locations presently unknown to Plaintiff, Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED repeatedly assaulted and battered Plaintiff by sexually molesting Plaintiff and inducing Plaintiff to engage in sexual intercourse with them. Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED also engaged in wrongful conduct by providing Plaintiff with illegal drugs during the above-referenced time period.

12. By reason of the acts of Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED Plaintiff was placed in great fear for his life and

physical well being.

13. By reason of the wrongful and malicious acts of Defendant GARCIA and Defendant [REDACTED] Plaintiff has suffered extreme and severe mental anguish and physical pain and has been injured in mind and body, all to Plaintiff's damage in a sum according to proof.

14. By reason of the wrongful and malicious acts of Defendant GARCIA and Defendant[REDACTED] Plaintiff was required to expend money and incur obligations for medical services and counseling reasonably required in the treatment and relief of the emotional disturbance and injuries he sustained. The exact amount of these medical expenses is not now known to Plaintiff; when the same have been ascertained, Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this complaint to set forth such items and charges.

15. The aforementioned acts of Defendant GARCIA and Defendant[REDACTED] were intended to cause injury to Plaintiff, or in the alternative was despicable conduct carried on with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights or safety of others and subjected Plaintiff to cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights, so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages.

16. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive were officers, directors or managing agents of Defendant

ARCHDIOCESE and Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S and that Defendants DOES 1 through 100 inclusive, and each of them possessed advance knowledge of the unfitness of Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED by virtue of their employment history with Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S and Defendant ARCHDIOCESE. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants DOES 1 through 100 inclusive in their capacity with Defendants ST. DOMINIC'S and Defendant ARCHDIOCESE ratified and approved the conduct of Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED and therefore exemplary and punitive damages should be imposed against Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S and Defendant ARCHDIOCESE in that they carried out such despicable conduct with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights and safety of others and subjected Plaintiff to further cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(False Imprisonment Against All Defendants)

17. Plaintiff reasserts and alleges paragraphs 1 through 8 inclusive, and paragraphs 10 through 12 inclusive, of his First Cause of Action and incorporates them by reference as though fully set forth herein.

18. On all occasions on which Plaintiff was assaulted and battered as alleged herein, Plaintiff was held against his will and without his consent and forced to submit to the sexual molestations and sexual intercourse.

19. As a proximate result of the acts of Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED, and each of them, Plaintiff was injured in his health, strength and activity, sustaining injury to his body and shock and injury to his nervous system and person, all of which injuries have caused Plaintiff to suffer extreme and severe physical pain and mental anguish. These injuries will result in some permanent disability to Plaintiff, all to his general damage in a sum according to proof.

20. The aforementioned acts of Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED was intended to cause injury to the Plaintiff, or in the alternative was despicable conduct carried on with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights or safety of others and subjected Plaintiff to a cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights, so as to justify and award of exemplary and punitive damages.

21. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive and each of them possessed advance knowledge of the unfitness of Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED by virtue of their employment history with Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S and Defendant ARCHDIOCESE. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants DOES 1 through 100, inclusive in their capacity with Defendants ST. DOMINIC'S and/or Defendant ARCHDIOCESE retified and approved the conduct of Defendant GARCIA and DefendantREDACTED and therefore exemplary and punitive damages should be imposed against Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S and

Defendant ARCHDIOCESE in that they carried out such despicable conduct with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights and safety of others and subjected Plaintiff to further cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress Against All Defendants)

22. Plaintiff reasserts and realleges paragraphs 1 through 8, inclusive, paragraphs 10 through 12 inclusive of his First Cause of Action and paragraphs 18 and 19 of his Second Cause of Action and incorporated them by reference as though fully set forth herein.

23. At all times herein mentioned, Plaintiff was a minor and at some of the times mentioned was an altar boy at Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S, and at all times herein mentioned Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED were in a position of trust and confidence with Plaintiff.

24. On each of the occasions as alleged herein, Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED took advantage of Plaintiff's trust and confidence in them by molesting Plaintiff and engaging in sexual intercourse with Plaintiff.

25. Defendants DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, on behalf of Defendants ST. DOMINIC'S and Defendant ARCHDIOCESE ratified

these acts on behalf of Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED and each of them by continuing to employ said Defendants and by refusing to assist Plaintiff or to take steps to remedy the situation.

26. The conduct of Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED and each of them, was intentional and malicious and done for the purpose of causing Plaintiff to suffer humiliation, mental anguish and emotional and physical distress.

27. The conduct of Defendants DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, on behalf of Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S and Defendant ARCHDIOCESE in confirming and ratifying the aforementioned conduct was done with knowledge that Plaintiff's emotional and physical distress would thereby increase, and was done with a wanton and reckless disregard of the consequences to Plaintiff.

28. As the proximate result of the aforementioned acts, Plaintiff suffered humiliation, mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress, and has been injured in mind and body, all to Plaintiff's damage in a sum according to proof.

29. As a further proximate result of the aforementioned acts, Plaintiff was required to and did employ physicians and counselors to examine, treat and care for Plaintiff, thereby incurring medical expenses in an amount which has not yet been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that he will incur some additional medical expenses,

the exact amount of which is unknown.

30. The aforementioned acts of Defendants, and each of them, as alleged herein were willful, wanton, malicious and oppressive and done with a conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff and justify the awarding of exemplary and punitive damages in a sum sufficient to make an example of and to punish Defendants and each of them.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Entrustment, Hiring and Supervision Against Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S, Defendant ARCHDIOCESE, DOES 1 through 100 inclusive and DOE CORPORATIONS 101 through 110 inclusive)

31. Plaintiff reasserts and realleges paragraphs 1 through 15 inclusive, of his First Cause of Action, paragraphs 18 through 20 inclusive of his Second Cause of Action, and paragraphs 23 through 30 of his Third Cause of Action and incorporates them by reference as though fully set forth herein.

32. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants and each of them, owned, managed, maintained and operated Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S and were responsible for hiring and supervising their agents and employees who were employed at Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S.

/ / /

33. At all times herein mentioned Defendants and each of them owed Plaintiff, as a minor and altar boy, a duty to use due care in hiring and employing individuals at Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S. Defendants, and each of them, owed Plaintiff a further duty to use due care in supervising the activities of the individuals employed at Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S.

34. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants and each of them, negligently hired and entrusted Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED and each of them, to educate, supervise and interact with the class of persons to which Plaintiff belonged and especially Plaintiff himself in that Defendants and each of them, knew or in the exercise of reasonable diligence should have known of the unfitness of Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED and each of them.

35. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, negligently supervised the activities of Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED in that had proper supervision and due diligence been exercised, Plaintiff would not have been assaulted and battered as herein alleged or the molestation and sexual intercourse with Plaintiff would not have been allowed to continue for the period of time as herein alleged. Also, had proper supervision and due diligence been exercised, Plaintiff would not have been subjected to the wrongful conduct of being provided with illegal drugs by Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED

36. As the proximate result of the aforementioned acts,

Plaintiff suffered humiliation, mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress, and has been injured in mind and body, all to Plaintiff's damage in a sum according to proof.

37. As a further proximate result of the aforementioned acts, Plaintiff was required to and did employ physicians and counselors to examine, treat and care for Plaintiff, thereby incurring medical expenses in an amount which has not yet been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that he will incur some additional medical expenses, the exact amount of which is unknown.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Infliction of Mental Distress Against Defendant ST. DOMINIC'S, Defendant ARCHDIOCESE DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, and DOE CORPORATIONS 101 through 110, inclusive)

38. Plaintiff reasserts and realleges paragraphs 1 through 15, inclusive of his First Cause of Action, paragraphs 18 through 20 of his Second Cause of Action, and paragraphs 23 through 30 inclusive, of his Third Cause of Action and paragraphs 32 through 35 inclusive of his Fourth Cause of Action and incorporates them by reference as though fully set forth herein.

39. Defendants, and each of them, knew or should have known, that their failure to exercise due care in the performance of their duty to heir and supervise Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED would cause Plaintiff severe emotional distress.

24914

40. As alleged herein, Defendants and each of them, negligently hired, entrusted and supervised Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED so as to allow them to molest Plaintiff and have sexual intercourse with Plaintiff on numerous occasions as well as allowing Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED to provide Plaintiff with illegal drugs.

41. As a proximate result of Defendants and each of their breaches of the aforementioned duties, Plaintiff was molested and induced to engage in sexual intercourse with Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED as well as being provided with illegal drugs by Defendant GARCIA and Defendant REDACTED

42. As a further proximate result of Defendants and each of their breaches of the aforementioned duties, and the consequences proximately caused thereby, as herein above alleged, Plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress and suffering and was injured in mind and body in a sum according to proof.

43. As a further proximate result of the aforementioned acts, Plaintiff was required to and did employ physicians and counselors to examine, treat and care for Plaintiff, thereby incurring medical expenses in an amount which has not yet been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that he will incur some additional medical expenses, the amount of which is unknown.

/ / /

/ / /

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Conspiracy Against All Defendants)

44. Plaintiff reasserts and realleges paragraphs 1 through 14, inclusive, of his First Cause of Action, paragraphs 18 through 19 , inclusive, of his Second Cause of Action, paragraphs 23 through 29, inclusive, of his Third Cause of Action, paragraphs 32 through 35, inclusive, of his Fourth Cause of Action and paragraphs 39 through 41, inclusive, of his Fifth Cause of Action and incorporates them by reference as though fully set forth herein.

45. In or about January 1985 and for some period of time before and after Defendants, and each of them, knowingly and willfully conspired and agreed among themselves to cover up the occurrences as set forth herein even though Defendants, and each of them, should have known of the incidents set forth herein.

46. Defendants, and each of them, did the acts and things herein alleged pursuant to, and in furtherance of, the conspiracy and above-alleged agreement.

47. Defendants, and each of them, furthered the conspiracy by cooperation and ratified and adopted the acts of their co-defendants by failing to disclose the molestation of Plaintiff and the incidents of sexual intercourse with Plaintiff even though specific inquiries were made by third persons other than

Plaintiff.

48. As a proximate result of the aforementioned acts, Plaintiff suffered humiliation, mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress, and has been injured in mind and body, all to Plaintiff's damage in a sum according to proof.

49. As a further proximate result of the aforementioned acts, Plaintiff was required to and did employ physicians and counselors to examine, treat and care for Plaintiff, thereby incurring medical expenses in an amount which has not yet been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that he will incur some additional medical expenses, the exact amount of which is unknown.

50. The aforementioned acts of Defendants, and each of them, as alleged herein were willful, wanton, malicious and oppressive and done with a conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff and justify the awarding of exemplary and punitive damages in a sum sufficient to make an example of and to punish Defendants and each of them.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

ON THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

1. For general damages in a sum according to proof.

2. For medical and related expenses according to proof.

3. For exemplary and punitive damages in a sum according to proof.

ON THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

4. For general damages in a sum according to proof.

5. For exemplary and punitive damages in a sum according to proof.

ON THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

6. For general damages in a sum according to proof.

7. For medical and related expenses in a sum according to proof.

8. For exemplary and punitive damages in a sum according to proof.

ON THE FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

9. For general damages in a sum according to proof.

10. For medical and related expenses in a sum according to proof.

/ / /

11. For exemplary and punitive damages in a sum accoding to proof.

ON THE FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

12. For general damages in a sum according to proof.

13. For medical and related expenses in a sum according to proof.

14. For exemplary and punitive damages in a sum according to proof.

ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

15. For prejudgment interest on the above sums from and after May 1985.

16. For costs of suit herein incurred.

17. For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.

REDACTED

DATED: May 16, 1988

CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM

May 17, 1988

To: Archbishop Mahony

From: Msgr. Thomas Curry

Re: Cristobal Garcia and REDACTED

I spoke to REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED of St. Dominic, Eagle Rock this morning. After he arrived REDACTED in August of 1985 a family came to him to report that REDACTED Father Cristobal Garcia was involved in giving cocaine to minors in the parish. To see if he could find any evidence of this, REDACTED REDACTED went into Garcia's room while he was celebrating Mass and found a seventeen year old boy in his bed. REDACTED immediately removed Father Garcia from the parish. (You received a long angry letter from a parishioner complaining of his sudden transfer, and I responded in February 1986 and directed the parishioner back to REDACTED) At the time, the family of the boy would not believe the charges and reacted very angrily.

Father Cristobal agreed to go to therapy but on condition he could do so in his native REDACTED His family is very wealthy and influential, and his father volunteered to pay the expenses involved. Father Garcia returned to the REDACTED but there is no record of treatment. The REDACTED incardinated him into his Archdiocese, and Father Garcia is operating there.

REDACTED

The Order had been paying for therapy for the parents of the boy, but some time ago after they contacted a lawyer they stopped going on his advice. Recently, I believe, the young man came to tell REDACTEREDACTED he was going to sue the Church.

The Community's lawyer is REDACTED

Please keep me informed.

+ RHM

5-17-88

24920

FILE

MEMORANDUM

DATE: May 18, 1988

FROM: Monsignor Curry

TO: Archbishop Mahony

RE: Cristobal Garcia/[REDACTED] Case

Statement for press people who call on this:

Archbishop Mahony is saddened to hear of the allegations concerning two members of the Dominican Community.

We realize this is a tragedy for all involved, the young man, his family, the parish, and the Church at large. We are also aware that the Dominican Community has made every effort to reach out to the young man and his family in this time of need.

Since the matter is now in the courts, we cannot comment on it. In any case, we only became aware of the incidents by way of the public media.

REDACTED DACTED MAY 24 1988

May 20, 1988

REDACTED
1 California Street, Suite 1400
San Francisco, CA 94111

Re: REDACTED v. GARCIA et al.
LASC Case No. C 686289

Dear Mr. REDACTED

Enclosed is a copy of the complaint in the above-referenced matter and a notice and acknowledgment of receipt.

Please advise whether you will accept service of process on behalf of any defendant within five days of receipt of this letter or we shall proceed accordingly.

Thank you for your anticipated cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

REDACTED

REDACTED

REDACTED
Encl.

cc: REDACTED

REDACTED

REC'D MAY 23 '88

24901

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICATIONS
ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES
1530 WEST NINTH STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90015

Rev. REDACTED
REDACTED

REDACTED

MEMORANDUM

DATE: May 20, 1988

TO: Msgr. Curry

FROM: REDACTED

RE: Suit at St. Dominic's

FOR YOUR INFORMATION---------

Today, Friday, May 20, 1988, I received a phone call from REDACTED with a message that she wished to speak about the case of alleged molestation at St. Dominic's.

When I returned her call about noon, REDACTED identified herself as the mother of REDACTED another young man, now 19 years old, who was also at St. Dominic's at the same time as the young man in the lawsuit--REDACTED

If this is the proper name of the young man who has brought suit in the first place, then we need to give some weight to the information supplied by this woman. If this is not the proper name, then ignore the rest of this memo.

Mrs. REDACTED informed me that her son, REDACTED, now clean of any chemical dependency, was well into drugs and alcohol when he was 15 or 16. He spent a lot of time over at St. Dominic's with "Fr. Chris." REDACTED has learned from her son that Fr. Chris did supply alcohol and dope to REDACTED and probably to REDACTED, but that never did Fr. Chris so much as touch REDACTED or make any kind of a move on him. She said that REDACTED doubted Fr. Chris ever did to REDACTED, either. REDACTED (and everybody else) knew that REDACTED was also well into drugs at the time. Why he is now bringing suit is a mystery.

What Mrs. REDACTED was concerned about was that she did not want her son's name dragged into this whole affair, especially now that he is just starting out in his own business. She doesn't want his past use of drugs brought up again.

I told her that I wasn't sure what the law was, that she should definitely consult with a lawyer. Primarily, I told her that, if REDACTED at 19 now did not wish to add his testimony, and that it was for the purpose of not incriminating himself, and that it did not impede the case, then his Fifth Amendment rights would probably come into play. This was not to cover up; it was simply not to be dragged into someone else's lawsuit that could do him damage.

I also mentioned to her that it might be possible that, since the incidents occurred while he was a minor, his name, should it be included in the suit, might well be sealed from publication.

I reiterated that she should seek legal advice, and not rely on my uninformed suggestion.

She thanked me for my suggestion, told me there was a family friend who was a lawyer, and that she would confer with him.

'It's as if no one wants to face what happened,' one father said.

Church, Families Deal With Child Sex Abuse by Priest

By MARITA HERNANDEZ and JOHN DART,
Times Staff Writers

Several months have passed since scandal rocked the small Eastside Catholic parish. "Father Nick," the fugitive priest charged with sexually molesting at least 10 altar boys at the church and at another parish, is seldom mentioned.

At Our Lady of Guadalupe Church, nestled in the foothills of predominantly Latino El Sereno, parishioners still fill Sunday Masses celebrated in Spanish, and a new roster of altar boys assists at the services.

Parish life has returned to normal, both at Guadalupe and at the second church, St. Agatha's, a heavily Latino parish in South-Central Los Angeles.

Have Not Forgotten

Only the families most directly affected still struggle for normalcy. They haven't forgotten Father Nicolas Aguilar Rivera. They trusted the visiting priest from Mexico with their children and even welcomed him into their homes. Now they worry about the effect of the alleged molestation on the boys.

DOUGLAS R. BURROWS

Father Nicolas Aguilar Rivera

Some parents have been criticized by fellow parishioners who have accused them of blowing the situation out of proportion. There have been insinuations that the boys may have lied about what happened.

Police investigators said 26 boys were molested by the priest. The district attorney's office filed formal charges against him in 10 of the cases.

Church leaders have minimized the problem, some parents say, and have not done enough to prevent a recurrence. "It's as if no one wants to face what happened," the father of two of the alleged victims said.

In the aftermath of the incident, which also has raised questions by police about the church's handling of the case, the Los Angeles Catholic Archdiocese has improved procedures for reporting molestation cases to authorities.

Low-Keyed Response

Otherwise, little has changed. Archdiocesan leaders say it is best to handle such matters quietly, both for the sake of the families involved and to avoid making more of the problem than exists.

"My greatest concerns are priests [in general], not this very tiny, tiny percentage involved in any difficulty like this," said Los Angeles Archbishop Roger M. Mahony in an interview. He described the 1,316 diocesan, religious

PATRICK DOWNS / Los Angeles Times

Archbishop Roger M. Mahony

order and visiting priests here as "upstanding, dedicated, committed men." He said priests who experience difficulties are commonly beset by other problems such as stress, burnout, depression and alcoholism.

Mahony noted that in the last fewer than one priest per year in archdiocese has been accused of molestation. Four priests and on religious order brother have bee criminally charged or had civil l filed against them. In three or fo cases, the priests denied the acc and no formal charges were mad

At the same time, Mahony saic addition to the archdiocese's cust psychological screening of candi its seminary, he has sought—by removing stigmas associated wit therapy—to encourage troubled to seek counseling.

Nevertheless, the archdiocese with a complication in that the m of priests—those from religious o and those visiting from other areas—serve here on the recommendation of their superio are not screened by archdiocesan psychologists.

In recent years, as reports of cl molestation spread across all seg U.S. society and public attention accordingly, the church has foun

Please see PRIESTS

Buckey Teac Credential Is Spawns Anot Long Proceed

By BOB WILLIAMS, *Times St*

To qualify to teach in Californ Ann Buckey must first prove, am things, that she didn't kill a h

PRIESTS

ntinued from Page 1

more immune to the problem in other trusted institutions.

Numerous lawsuits throughout country have charged that the rch has ignored and tried to ver up the problem in some tances failing to notify authori s, transferring molesting priests other parishes and ignoring ental complaints. For the first ne tatement on behalf of U.S. th bishops was issued this ar expressing their concern over dophilia, the abnormal sexual sire of adults for children.

While the archdiocese has no ritten policy for dealing with the oblem of child-molesting priests, ahony said that the church's actice is "to respond to hurting ople as best we can and as uickly as we can."

This usually involves immedi ely removing a priest from an signment involving children and fering psychological services to e priest and to the victims.

In the Aguilar case, church offi ials confronted the priest with the ccusations and removed him from is duties on a weekend—two days efore contacting police authori ies. Police investigators criticized he church for the delay, which h said allowed the priest to arrest. Church officials countered that the police phone number they had been given for reporting such incidents was not operating on weekends.

Flight to Mexico

Aguilar, who served a few months at Guadalupe parish and six months at St. Agatha's, fled in January when he was confronted with the accusations. Aguilar is believed to have gone into hiding in Mexico, where authorities will be asked for assistance in tracking down the priest, said Detective Gary Lyon of the Los Angeles Police Department's Juvenile Division, who investigated the case.

Upon his return to Mexico, the priest stopped to visit his former bishop in Tehuacan in the state of Puebla, who said that Aguilar resumed his priestly duties without explanation and left.

Subsequent discussions between church and police representatives have resulted in a new list of phone numbers—including some that operate around the clock and on facilities in the archdiocese, Mahony said.

Mahony said the archdiocese hopes to discover potential problems before they surface.

Psychologist Joseph Nicolosi of St. Thomas Aquinas Psychological Clinic in Encino, does the preliminary screening of applicants to St. John's College and Seminary in Camarillo, the training center for archdiocesan priests.

Directed to Therapy

From applicants' responses to questions about celibacy and sexual orientation, Nicolosi said he recommends that about 20% of them receive some psychotherapy for various reasons, not all sex-related.

Mahony said the church does not ask would-be seminarians if they have a sexual fascination with children; indeed, he said that psychologists tell him there are no questions that can detect a possible offender. Psychiatrist Morris Paulson of UCLA's Family Support Program said that some offenders who fondle or sexually abuse children tend to rationalize their behavior and deny they have a serious, recurring problem.

In the 10 years he has been screening seminary candidates and counseling priests, Nicolosi said the archdiocese has lately given speedier attention to requests for therapy on a whole range of problems.

"I think the atmosphere has changed from the former administration [of Cardinal Timothy Manning]," Nicolosi said. "A priest can feel free to have professional help and have the archdiocese pay for the psychotherapy."

"There is no stigma attached to counseling," Mahony said.

Seldom Discussed

Still, the issue of child molestation is seldom discussed in the archdiocese. Mahony last raised the matter with Los Angeles priests at a retreat two years ago. "An attorney, a psychiatrist and I talked about all this. It was very well received. We don't have anything ongoing beyond that for priests," he said, asserting that fewer than 1% of priests' problems in counseling are sexual.

The news weekly National Catholic Reporter said there have been at least 135 cases of sexual molestation reported from 1983 to 1986. The total through this year of cases involving priests who have been sued or charged with alleged child high as 200 nationwide, said Father Thomas P. Doyle of Silver Spring, Md., one of the authors of a 1985 report to U.S. bishops on the situation. There are 57,000 Catholic priests in the United States.

In response to press reports on priests afflicted with pedophilia, Mark Chopko, general counsel for the U.S. Catholic bishops, issued a Feb. 9 statement saying the bishops were "deeply committed to addressing such problems positively, to making strong efforts to prevent child abuse, to repairing whatever damage has been done and to bringing the healing ministry of the church to bear wherever possible."

One of the first frank treatments of the subject publicly by a major diocese may have been that of the Seattle archdiocese, which had to deal with recent allegations against priests. A letter from Archbishop Raymond G. Hunthausen was read May 29 in western Washington parishes expressing the desire to "create a new atmosphere based on education and dialogue, so that the problem of abuse and exploitation will become a distant chapter in our past."

Any person "who has been victimized by a priest in the archdiocese" was invited to contact the archdiocese's chancellor or a pastor, according to the archdiocesan newspaper.

Limited Knowledge

In Los Angeles and other areas, a Catholic diocese may have limited background knowledge on more than half of the priests serving within its borders. In the Los Angeles archdiocese, for instance, 456 are "diocesan priests" (educated and assigned by the archdiocese), 676 are on assignment from about 50 different religious orders and 184 are visiting from other jurisdictions.

Only one of four priests sentenced, charged or sued in sexual molestation cases during the 1980s in the Los Angeles archdiocese was a diocesan priest—Father Donald P. Roemer, who was sentenced to a state hospital in 1981 and placed on 10 years' probation in 1983.

"A priest cannot knock on the door and just be assigned," said Mahony. He said a full explanation for coming to the archdiocese is required from a visiting priest's former bishop. With religious orders, Msgr. Thomas Curry, archdiocesan vicar for the clergy, said, checked the [illegible] there are no problems."

A lawsuit filed last month against the archdiocese involves a Dominican order brother and priest, both accused of sexually abusing a former altar boy at St. Dominic's Church in Eagle Rock between 1983 and 1986. The suit alleges that the men separately gave the youth illegal drugs and forced him to have sex with each of them.

"The Dominicans were totally unaware of any problems" when they were assigned to the parish, Mahony said.

Recalls Suspension

Father John Flannery, the Oakland-based administrator of the Dominicans' western province, said in a statement last week that he suspended the priest [redacted] in November, 1985, well before the recent allegations were made public.

Flannery's statement, issued through Ron Larson, the province's attorney, said that Garcia refused to cooperate with Flannery's inquiry and left for his home in trol." Garcia was officially removed from the order in June, 1986, but he was later accepted by the Archbishop of Cebu to serve there, Larson said.

The Cebu archdiocese was advised of the allegations, Larson said, but because Garcia is no longer a Dominican, the order has had no further contact with the priest or his new superiors.

The lawsuit also names Brother Juan Macias, who left St. Dominic parish in 1986, before the allegations against him surfaced. "We are hearing those charges [against him] for the first time," Larson said. He said Macias has been abroad and has been summoned to the Oakland provincial's office.

In another case involving a religious order priest, Father John A. Salazar, 31, a Piarist Father who taught at Santa Teresita School in the City Terrace area, in August pleaded guilty to two felony counts of molesting boys, ages 13 and 14, and was sentenced to six years in prison.

A suit is still pending in that case, and it has raised issues that have

Parents of the [illegible]-year-old in the suit that their son complained twice to his teacher once to his principal about molestations, but that both failed notify authorities and allowed priest to continue teaching celebrating Mass. The parents it was not until another stu complained that church off forced Salazar to turn himself law enforcement authorities.

At Our Lady of Guadalupe St. Agatha's parishes, most eight families interviewed fo story expressed varying degr dissatisfaction with the chu response to the Aguilar inc Many said they were disappo that their church did not do m alert parishioners of the pro Although a recent comm meeting was held at one parishes to discuss child abuse with an archdiocesa pointed psychologist, some affected families said they d attend out of fear of publicly i fying their children.

Doyle, the U.S. bishops' a

Please see PRIESTS,

Fr. Tim Dyer
1531 W. 9th St.
Los Angeles Ca.
90015

REDACTED

REDACTED

9/10/92

Dear Fr. Dyer,

24891

Thankyou for speaking to me about this sensitive matter concerning Fr. Cristobal Garcia. He was formerly a priest at St. Dominic's Parish in Eagle Rock until he got fired because he had an affair with a minor. this boy's name is REDACTED REDACTED Mr. REDACTED sued the Dominican Order and Fr Cris. Fr. Cris left the country and did not stand trial. He went back to the Philippines where he is a practicing priest. As a result the case was settled out of court and Fr. Cris never had to go to therapy.

Fr. Cris is my own brother and I love him, but when I was fifteen he molested me while he was still a Brother at St. Albert's Priory in Oakland, Ca. I tried to talk to my parents about it, but they called me a liar and a blasphemer. But that is understandable, after all, who would you believe a 15 year old boy or a representative of the Lord.

I am very worried now because Fr. Cris has converted one of my families beach houses into a seminary It is quite secluded and I am terrified that he will take advantage of the seminarians naivete and eventually the situation will propagate itself. Please contact REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED REDACTED of REDACTED and see if Fr. Cris can be helped. I do not want to offend anyone or speak badly about anyone. I just don't think he should be in such a precarious situation.

I honestly believe there is something wrong when

someone uses God's name and authority to - their own personal perversions. Since Fr. Cris is my own brother I really felt that I could not tell anyone about him molesting me, other than my parents and my therapist. Now, however, I feel that it is a moral imperative that I speak out for, "Those who do not know that past are condemned to repeat it."

I believe the June 20, 1988 LA Times mentions Fr. Cris' case. Please write to my father to make him aware of the situation. His name and address are as follows: REDACTED

If you have any other questions please write to me at: REDACTED

To this day I still suffer from anxiety attacks because of what happened. I'm married and I have a 4 month old son and I pray that he will never go through what I went through.

Sincerely,

REDACTED

Copy of Letter of 9/10/92 from REDACTED

Dear Fr. Dyer:

thank you for speaking to me about this sensitive matter concerning Fr. Cristobal Garcia. He was formerly a priest at St. Dominic's Parish in Eagle Rock until he got fired because he had an affair with a minor. This boy's name is REDACTED Mr. REDACTED sued the Dominican Order and Fr. Cris. Fr. Cris left the country and did not stand trial. He went back to the Philippines where he is a practicing priest. As a result the case was settled out of court and Fr. Cris never had to go to therapy.

Fr. Cris is my own brother and I love him, but when I was fifteen he molested me while he was still a Brother at St. Albert's Priory in Oakland, CA. I tried to talk to my parents about it, but they called me a liar and a blasphemer. But that is understandable, after all, who would you believe a 15 year old boy or a representative of the Lord.

I am very worried now because Fr. Cris has converted one of my families beach houses into a seminary. It is quite secluded and I am terrified that he will take advantage of the seminarians naivete and eventually the situation will propagate itself. Please contact REDACTED REDACTED of REDACTED and see if Fr. Cris can be helped. I do not want to offend anyone or speak badly about anyone. I just don't think he should be in such a precarious situation.

I honestly believe there is something wrong when someone uses God's name and authority for theirown personal perversions. Since Fr. Cris is myown brother I really felt that I could not tell anyone about him molesting me, other than my parents and my therapist. Now, however, I feel that it is a moral imperative tht I speak out for, "..Those who do not know that past are condemned to repeat it."

I believe the June 20, 1988 L.A. Times mentions Fr. Cris' case. Please write to my father to makehim aware of the situation. His name and address are as follows:

article now attached re Dr REDACTED

11/9/92

REDACTED

If you have any other questions please write to me at:

REDACTED

To this day I still suffer from anxiety attacks because of what happened. I'm married and I have a 4-month old son and I pray that he will never go through what I went through.

Sincerely,

REDACTED

CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM

October 2, 1992

TO: Cardinal Mahony

FROM: Father Timothy Dyer

RE: Fr. Cristobal Garcia, O.P.

Attached is a letter from REDACTEDREDACTED in which he outlines his experience of sexual abuse and the subsequent alleged abuse of other minors by Fr. Cristobal Garcia, O.P. -- his own blood brother.

Our files contain a newspaper report of accusations made by REDACTED REDACTED a memo from Msgr. Curry re these incidents in 1988 (also attached) and a deposition of Msgr. Curry taken by the Superior Court in the suit filed by REDACTED in 1989.

Our files do not contain any reports of the final settlement or the circumstances under which Fr. Garcia left this country and went to the Philippines.

Given the circumstances reported by REDACTEREDACTED which indicate that his brother is now in charge of a number of youth under the auspices of the diocese to train seminarians, I recommend you write to Cardinal REDACTED of REDACTED

As I'll be away this week, you may want REDACTED to follow up on what actually happened to the suit, and under what circumstances Fr. Garcia left for the Phillipines, and his present relationship to the Dominicans.

REDACTED

REDACTED

REDACTED

REFERRAL MEMORANDUM from CARDINAL ROGER MAHONY

TO: Msgr. Myer DATE: 10-5-92

( ) Please **REVIEW**, then **SEE ME**
(X) Please **REVIEW**, then **RETURN** to me
(X) Please **REVIEW**, then **SEND** me your **COMMENTS**
( ) Please **REVIEW**, then **FILE**

( ) Please **HANDLE** this matter **ENTIRELY**
( ) Please **ANSWER**, send copy of letter to me
( ) Please **WRITE A REPLY** for my signature
( ) For your **INFORMATION**
( ) Please **XEROX - FAX** and send copy/copies to:

Original to: ( ) file ( ) back to me ( )

REMARKS: Please get me the full file, with all
enclosures. Thanks.

CONFIDENTIAL

Archdiocese of Los Angeles

Office of the Archbishop (213) 251-3288

1531 West Ninth Street

Los Angeles California 90015-1194

October 19, 1992

REDACTED

REDACTED

D. Jakosalem Street
P.O. Box 52
6000 Cebu City
Philippines

REDACTED

I am writing to you with respect to the Reverend Cristobal GARCIA, a priest currently serving in the Archdiocese of Cebu.

Father Garcia's brother, REDACTED has recently contacted us here in the Archdiocese to warn us of the difficulties which Father Garcia has created over the past few years. I am enclosing a xerox copy of his letter addressed to Monsignor Timothy Dyer, my Vicar for the Clergy.

This two-page letter is strong and compelling since he is the direct brother to Father Garcia and he has no reason to write except to protect the Church and young people from any further harm.

The case of Father Cristobal Garcia here in Los Angeles was a difficult one when we first discovered it in May of 1988. The Provincial of Father Garcia [then a Dominican] discovered cocaine and a young man living in Father Garcia's room when assigned to St. Dominic's Parish in Eagle Rock, within the Archdiocese of Los Angeles.

Upon Father Garcia's immediate departure for the Philippines it was stated that Father Garcia would immediately enter professional therapy for his problems, and that no new priestly assignment would be given to him until he has satisfactorily concluded all of the professional therapy.

We have no indication that Father Garcia ever entered any therapy, much less that it concluded it satisfactorily.

Father Garcia's brother is now very concerned--and rightfully so--because of his allegation that Father Garcia is now operating a house of formation for young men on his own and beyond any full supervision.

I bring this matter to the attention of REDACTED , and I would respectfully request that you conduct a full, confidential inquiry into this entire matter, and that you ascertain whether Father Garcia has, in fact, entered into the professional therapy required of him and whether he has been found to be fully recovered from severe and serious moral problems.

It would be helpful to me here in Los Angeles to have some type of written response from REDACTED to this matter.

You will note that Mr. REDACTED lists his address in REDACTED REDACTED may wish to make further inquiry of Mr. REDACTED concerning his brother.

Thanking REDACTED for taking some positive steps to deal with this important and sensitive matter, and with kindest personal regards, I am

Sincerely yours in Christ,

+ Roger Cardinal Mahony

Cardinal Roger Mahony
Archbishop of Los Angeles

REDACTED

Encls.

REFERRAL MEMORANDUM FROM CARDINAL ROGER MAHONY

TO: Msgr. Dyer DATE: 10-20-92

( ) Please **REVIEW**, then **SEE ME**
( ) Please **REVIEW**, then **RETURN** to me
( ) Please **REVIEW**, then **SEND** me your **COMMENTS**
(X) Please **REVIEW**, then **FILE**

PRIORITY

( ) Please **HANDLE** this matter **ENTIRELY**
( ) Please **ANSWER**; send copy of letter to me
( ) Please **WRITE A REPLY** for my signature
( ) For your **INFORMATION**
( ) Please **XEROX - FAX** and send copy/copies to:

( ) original to file ( ) original back to me

REMARKS: Please note that I am returning everything back to you.

Thanks!

24885

COPY

Archdiocese of Los Angeles

Office of the Archbishop
Office: (213) 637-7534
Fax: (213) 637-6510

3424 Wilshire Boulevard

Los Angeles
California
90010-2241

July 12, 2012

Most Reverend Carlo Maria Viganò
Apostolic Nuncio to the United States of America
3339 Massachusetts Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20008

Re: Msgr. Cristobal Cecilio Espina Garcia

Your Excellency,

I respectfully ask you to transmit the enclosed envelope to His Excellency, the Most Reverend Luis F. Ladaria, S.J., Secretary of the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith.

The envelope contains documentation requested by the Congregation in a letter dated June 6, 2012 (Prot. No. 311/2012-39341), addressed to me. This documentation concerns the case of the above-named priest and allegations of sexual abuse made against him.

I thank you for your kind assistance in this matter, and assure you of my prayerful best wishes.

Fraternally yours in Christ,

/s/

Most Reverend José H. Gomez
Archbishop of Los Angeles

Enclosure

COPY

Archdiocese of Los Angeles

Office of the Archbishop
Office: (213) 637-7534
Fax: (213) 637-6510

3424 Wilshire Boulevard

Los Angeles
California
90010-2241

July 12, 2012

His Excellency
Most Reverend Luis F. Ladaria, S.J.
Secretary
Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith
00120 Vatican City State
Europe

Prot. No. 311/2012-39341

Re: Msgr. Cristobal Cecilio Espina Garcia

Your Excellency,

I am pleased to respond to your confidential letter dated June 6, 2012, regarding the above-named priest accused of sexual abuse.

As you requested, I am sending you herewith authenticated copies of all documentation relevant to Msgr. Garcia and his ministry in the Archdiocese of Los Angeles, including everything pertaining to allegations of sexual abuse made against him and legal proceedings related to his case.

I trust that this information is useful, and assure you of my prayerful good wishes.

Fraternally yours in Christ,

/s/

Most Reverend José H. Gomez
Archbishop of Los Angeles