

Westpfahl Spilker Wastl

Attorneys

Sexual abuse of minors and adult wards by clerics and full-time employees in the Archdiocese of Munich and Freising from 1945 to 2019

– Responsibilities, systemic causes, consequences and recommendations –

Attorney Dr. Marion Westpfahl, Munich

Attorney Dr. Ulrich Wastl, Munich

Attorney Dr. Martin Pusch, LL.M., Munich

Lawyer Nata Gladstein, Munich

Attorney Philipp Schenke, Munich

January 20, 2022

Table of Contents

A. PRINCIPLES	1
I. Mission and objective of the expert report	1
II. Summary of the main results	10
III. Terminological clarification	21
1. Sexual abuse / sexualized violence	21
2. Victims / Victims / Affected Persons	22
3. Suspect / Accused / Perpetrator	24
4. Presumption of innocence	25
IV. Course of the investigation	26
1. Selection and inspection of the relevant files	26
a) Personnel files	26
b) Procedural files	27
c) DOMEA (“Document management and electronic archiving in IT-supported business processes”) ...	28

d) Court records of the Archbishop's Consistory ...	29
e) Secret Archives of the Diocesan Curia	29
f) Records of the vicars general	30
g) Other files	30
h) Declarations of completeness	31
2. Questioning eyewitnesses	32
3. Evaluation of other investigation reports	35
4. Testing / coordination with Prof. P. Dr. Hans Zollner SJ and Prof. Dr. William Rees ..	37
5. Opportunity for responsible persons to comment	38
6. Cooperation with the public prosecutor's office in Munich I	40
B. EVALUATION STANDARDS	43
I. Some introductory remarks on the development of awareness of the problem of sexual abuse of minors in society and the church in the 20th century	44
1. The social development until 1960	45
2. Societal development after 1960	51
3. Perception of sexual abuse of minors in the church context ...	54
a) The Gauthe case	55
b) The case of Groër	57
c) The case of Maciel Degollado	58
d) The case of John Geoghan	60
4. Intermediate result	61
II. Presentation of the criminal law background and developments	64
1. Some basic features of the development of sexual criminal law	65
a) The development of criminal law up to 1960	65
b) The development of criminal law from 1960	66
2. Criminal liability of the abuser	69
a) Relevant offenses of the Criminal Code	69
b) Statute of limitations for individual acts of abuse	95
3. Risks of criminal liability for those responsible for leadership in the church	100
a) Abetting an act of abuse through active conduct	101
b) Abetting an act of abuse through omission	107

c) Willful or negligent bodily harm	129
d) Frustration of criminal prosecution	141
e) Possible incidental offenses of frustration of a sentence	155
4. The position of the victim	155
5. Interim result and current developments	158
III. Presentation of the legal liability background	160
1. Liability of the perpetrator under liability law	161
2. Liability of the employer, the Archdiocese of Munich and Freising	162
a) Liability based on attribution (§ 31 BGB)	162
b) Liability due to inadequate selection and monitoring (§ 831 BGB)	163
3. Statute of limitations	165
4. Intermediate result	166
IV. Presentation of the church (criminal) legal background and developments	167
1. A few basic features of the historical development of canon law regarding the sexual abuse of minors up to CIC/1917	168
2. The CIC/1917, the instruction "Crimen sollicitationis" and supplementary regulations	175
a) Preliminary remarks	176
b) Relevant criminal offenses of the CIC/1917 ...	179
c) Procedural provisions	188
d) Confidentiality obligations	195
3. The CIC/1983, the motu proprio "Sacramentorum sanctitatis tutela" and other supplementary regulations	201
a) Preliminary remarks	201
b) Relevant criminal offenses of the CIC/1983 ...	204
c) Procedural provisions	214
d) Confidentiality obligations	221
4. Supplementary church-wide regulations	222
a) Motu proprio "Come una madre amorevole"	223
b) Motu proprio "Vos estis lux mundi"	223
5. The guidelines of the German Bishops' Conference (2002 / 2010 / 2013) and their implementation in the Archdiocese of Munich and Freising	223

a) Plausibility check of suspected cases in accordance with the DBK guidelines and their relationship to the preliminary investigation under canon law	224
b) DBK guidelines 2002	230
c) DBK guidelines 2010	235
d) DBK guidelines 2013	240
6. Intermediate result	249
V. Church self-understanding and sexual abuse	251
1. Documents of the Second Vatican Council	252
a) The Apostolic Constitution Gaudium et Spes (1965)	253
b) The Decree “Apostolicam Actuositatem” (1965)	255
2. Papal Documents	256
a) The encyclical Deus caritas est (2005)	257
b) The Letter “To the People of God” (2018)	259
3. Intermediate result	260
VI. Findings to date and reports available to date	261
1. "Murphy Report" (2009)	262
2. Investigation report of the Archdiocese of Munich and Freising (2010)	264
3. "Royal Commission Report" (2017)	268
4. MHG study (2018)	274
5. Balance Sheet Report of the Independent Commission for the Study of Sexual Abuse of Children (2019)	277
6. Project documentation “Those affected listen – prevent abuse. Consequences from the MHG study” (2020) ..	279
7. Report commissioned by the Diocese of Aachen (2020)	281
8. Report commissioned by the Archdiocese of Berlin (2021)	284
9. Report commissioned by the Archdiocese of Cologne (2021)	286
10. Report commissioned by the Diocese of Hildesheim (2021)	288
11. Sexual Violence in the Catholic Church in France 1950 – 2020, Summary of the Final Report Independent Commission on Sexual Abuse in the Catholic Church – CIASE (2021)	289
12. Intermediate result	292
C. RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION IN GENERAL	294
I. Factual findings	294

1. The bodies and offices of the Archdiocese of Munich and Freising dealing with cases of sexual abuse	
.....	294
a) The Archbishop of Munich and Freising	295
b) The Vicar General of the Archbishop of Munich and Freising	301
c) The official and the vice-officials	306
d) The archbishopric of Munich and its divisions....	310
e) Superordinate bodies	312
f) The contact persons and the advisory staff	317
g) The Advisory Board for Affected Persons and the Review Commission of the Archdiocese of Munich and Freising	317
2. Sources for the report from 2010 ...	318
3. Reorientation of the administration in the Archdiocese of Munich and Freising after the report in 2010	323
a) Structural reform - project "EOM 2010 - Shaping the future, setting the framework"	329
b) "Traceability" project.....	332
c) Interim result	335
4. Measures to prevent cases of abuse in the Archdiocese of Munich and Freising ...	335
5. Evaluation and Analysis of the Cases Investigated	340
a) Distribution based on criteria related to the suspect.....	341
b) Distribution based on damage-related criteria.....	346
c) Distribution according to crime-related criteria	348
d) Implementation of state or church procedures	357
II. Procedure for processing cases of (suspected) abuse	358
1. General information on processing cases of suspected abuse	358
a) Case handling before 2010	359
b) Case handling in the course of the abuse scandal between 2010 and 2011	360
c) Processing from 2011 to the end of the investigation period	361
2. Responses to clerics suspected of sexual abuse	365
a) Reports to the public prosecutor	366
b) Failure to sanction within the Church	375
c) Further use in pastoral care	380
3. Responses to laypersons suspected of sexual abuse	382

a) Treatment of (suspected) cases of abuse by laypersons	382
b) Reports to the public prosecutor's office	383
4. Reactions of those responsible in the church towards the victims	385
a) Perception of victims before 2010	386
b) Perception of injured parties from 2010	388
5. Reactions of the diocesan leaders towards the affected parishes	395
6. Deviations from the reports of the Archdiocese Abuse Officers for the period April 2010 to December 2020 ..	397
7. Intermediate result	399
III. Systemic causes for identified deficits	402
1. Clericalism	404
2. Fear as well as helplessness and speechlessness as action-guiding moments	410
3. Ecclesiastical (criminal) law	414
a) No ambiguity and inconsistency of the relevant standards that would hinder enlightenment ...	414
b) Deficits in the legal culture within the Church	416
c) Deficit legal regulations	419
d) Lack of application practice	422
4. (Adult) homosexuality among clergy	423
5. Selection of leaders and expertise	425
6. Responsibilities and competencies as well as structural and process organization	428
7. Oversight and accountability	429
8. Record keeping and transparency	431
9. Lack of interdisciplinary exchange	431
D. RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION REGARDING THE ACTION AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE DIOCESAL MANAGEMENT IN PARTICULAR	433
I. General expert guidelines	433
1. Selection criteria for the cases to be presented in the report	434
2. Presentation of the facts and in particular the naming of church leaders and persons accused of sexual abuse	438
3. Evaluation of the facts.....	442
II. Cases in which misconduct was identified	444

1. Case 1	445
2. Case 2	447
3. Case 3	450
4. Case 4	452
5. Case 5	454
6. Case 6	454
7. Case 7	459
8. Case 8	460
9. Case 9	461
10. Case 10	464
11. Case 11	465
12. Case 12	469
13. Case 13	471
14. Case 14	475
15. Case 15	479
16. Case 16	480
17. Case 17	481
18. Case 18	483
19. Case 19	487
20. Case 20	488
21. Case 21	489
22. Case 22	490
23. Case 23	494
24. Case 24	496
25. Case 25	499
26. Case 26	502
27. Case 27	508
28. Case 28	510
29. Case 29	512
30. Case 30	514
31. Case 31	522

32. Case 32	534
33. Case 33	535
34. Case 34	536
35. Case 35	538
36. Case 36	539
37. Case 37	544
38. Case 38	555
39. Case 39	558
40. Case 40	562
41. Case 41	568
42. Case 42	568
43. Case 43	573
44. Case 44	575
45. Case 45	576
46. Case 46	578
47. Case 47	579
48. Case 48	580
49. Case 49	583
50. Case 50	585
51. Case 51	589
52. Case 52	591
53. Case 53	593
54. Case 54	594
55. Case 55	595
56. Case 56	596
57. Case 57	600
58. Case 58	602
59. Case 59	605
60. Case 60	607
61. Case 61	609
62. Case 62	611

63. Case 63	614
64. Case 64	618
65. Case 65	619
III. Expert evaluation of the actions of the diocesan instructions	621
1. Introductory remarks on jurisdiction and assignment of responsibility ...	622
2. Archbishop Michael Cardinal von Faulhaber (1917 – 1952)	623
a) Case 2	624
b) Case 3	627
c) Case 5	628
d) Case 6	630
e) Expert overall assessment	632
3. Archbishop Joseph Cardinal Wendel (1952 – 1960)	633
a) Case 6	633
b) Case 9	636
c) Case 11	638
d) Case 13	640
e) Case 14	642
f) Case 16	644
g) Case 17	645
h) Case 19	647
i) Expert overall assessment	648
4. Archbishop Julius Cardinal Döpfner (1961 – 1976)	650
a) Case 6	650
b) Case 21	653
c) Case 22	656
d) Case 23	658
e) Case 24	660
f) Case 25	661
g) Case 26	663
h) Case 27	665
i) Case 29	666

j) Case 31	669
k) Case 34	671
l) Case 35	673
m) Case 36	675
n) Case 37	678
o) Expert overall assessment	680
5. Archbishop Joseph Cardinal Ratzinger (1977–1982).....	682
a) General statements by the former Archbishop Cardinal Ratzinger / Pope em. Benedict XVI	684
b) Case 22	688
c) Case 37	698
d) Case 40	718
e) Case 42	733
f) Expert overall assessment	750
6. Archbishop and Apostolic Administrator Friedrich Cardinal Wetter (1982 – 2008)	754
a) General statements of the former Archbishop Cardinal Wetter ..	755
b) Case 24	761
c) Case 26	766
d) Case 27	772
e) Case 37	774
f) Case 38	777
g) Case 40	781
h) Case 43	786
i) Case 44	790
j) Case 46	793
k) Case 47	797
l) Case 50	801
m) Case 51	807
n) Case 52	812
o) Case 53	816
p) Case 54	820

q) Case 56	823
r) Case 57	827
s) Case 59	831
t) Case 62	835
u) Case 63	839
v) Case 64	844
w) Expert overall assessment	847
7. Archbishop Reinhard Cardinal Marx (2008 – present)	851
a) General statements by Archbishop Cardinal Marx	852
b) Case 30	854
c) Case 65	862
d) Expert overall assessment	866
8. Vicar General and Vicar Capitular Ferdinand Buchwieser (1932 – 1953)	869
a) Case 2	870
b) Case 3	873
c) Case 4	875
d) Case 5	876
e) Case 6	878
f) Case 7	879
g) Case 10	881
h) Case 11	882
i) Expert overall assessment	884
9. Vicar General Dr. Johann Fuchs (1953 – 1960)	886
a) Case 1	887
b) Case 3	889
c) Case 4	890
d) Case 6	892
e) Case 9	894
f) Case 12	897
g) Case 13	898
h) Case 14	901

i) Case 15	904
j) Case 16	906
k) Case 17	908
l) Case 19	909
m) Case 21	911
n) Expert overall assessment	912
10. Capitular Vicar and Vicar General Auxiliary Bishop Johannes Neuhäusler (1961/1962)	913
11. Vicar General Matthias Defregger (1962 – 1968)	915
a) Case 6	915
b) Case 20	917
c) Case 24	919
d) Case 25	920
e) Case 26	922
f) Case 27	925
g) Case 32	926
h) Expert overall assessment	927
12. Vicar General and Personnel Officer Dr. Gerhard Gruber (1968 – 1990/1993)	928
a) General statements by the former vicar general and personnel officer Dr. Gruber .	929
b) Case 6	939
c) Case 8	945
d) Case 22	947
e) Case 23	952
f) Case 24	957
g) Case 27	961
h) Case 28	964
i) Case 29	968
j) Case 31	973
k) Case 34	980
l) Case 35	985
m) Case 36	989
n) Case 37	994

o) Case 38	999
p) Case 39	1003
q) Case 40	1007
r) Case 42	1010
s) Case 43	1015
t) Case 44	1020
u) Case 45	1024
v) Case 52	1030
w) Case 58	1035
x) Expert overall assessment	1039

13. Vicar General Dr. Robert Simon (1990 - 2009) 1040

a) Case 26	1041
b) Case 49	1044
c) Case 50	1047
d) Case 52	1050
e) Case 53	1054
f) Case 54	1056
g) Case 55	1057
h) Case 56	1060
i) Case 57	1063
j) Case 58	1066
k) Case 63	1068
l) Expert overall assessment	1070

14. Vicar General DDr. Peter Beer (2010 - 2019) 1072

a) General statements by the former Vicar General DDr. Beer....	1073
b) Case 30	1075
c) Case 48	1085
d) Case 60	1089
e) Case 65	1092
f) Expert overall assessment	1097

15. Official Dr. Lorenz Wolf (1997 – to date) 1099

a) General statements by the official Dr. Wolves...	1100
b) Case 18	1109
c) Case 26	1112
d) Case 30	1116
e) Case 33	1124
f) Case 42	1127
g) Case 51	1130
h) Case 56	1134
i) Case 60	1139
j) Case 61	1144
k) Case 62	1147
l) Case 63	1151
m) Expert overall assessment	1155

E. RECOMMENDATIONS 1160

I. Strengthening of the concerns of the injured parties 1161

1. Contact of church officials with injured parties 1161
2. Ombudsman for injured parties 1162
3. Inspection of files 1163
4. Strengthening of the Advisory Board for Affected Persons 1164

II. Measures in the field of legislation 1166

1. Specification of the relevant criminal offenses 1167
2. Jurisdiction 1169
3. Law of criminal procedure, in particular position of the injured party 1170
4. Judicial publication 1171
5. Disciplinary Measures 1172

III. Measures in the administrative and organizational area 1174

1. Implementing regulations for the DBK-MissbrO 1174
2. Independent intervention officer 1175
3. Optimization of administrative structures and processes with regard to 1177
 - a) Compliance management, in particular whistleblower system1177
 - b) Quality management, in particular (independent) auditing and peer comparison ... 1179

c) Management functions and other employees	1180
d) File management	1182
4. Limitation of the terms of office of relevant responsible persons	1183
IV. Measures in relation to (potential) abusers	1184
1. Further development of management supervision	1184
2. Protection of those who have been injured by caring for the perpetrators and eliminating the helplessness of those responsible in the church	1186
3. Establishment of an expert pool and evaluation of the expert activity	1189
4. Critical review of priestly training and advanced training	1190
V. Other measures	1192
1. Taking care of the church institutions concerned	1192
2. Critical reflection on the priestly self-understanding	1193
3. In-depth (interdisciplinary) research and institutionalized exchange of knowledge and experience, especially at the international level, on factors conducive to abuse and cover-ups ...	1194
4. Strengthening the personality development of children	1197
5. Strengthening the role of women in church leadership positions	1197
BIBLIOGRAPHY	1199

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1

Confirmation Prof. Dr. Wilhelm Rees from January 10, 2022

Attachment 2

Statement Pope em. Benedict XVI

Set of systems 3

Statements Archbishop em. Frederick Cardinal Wetter

Set of systems 4

Opinions Archbishop Reinhard Cardinal Marx

Plant bundle 5

Statements Prof. DDr. Peter Beer

Attachment 6

Synopsis of §§ 174, 175 a. F., 176, 182 (R)StGB

Attachment 7

Synopsis CIC/1917 / CIC/1983

Attachment 8

Synopsis of the DBK guideline 2002 / 2010 / 2013